Multi-Agent System to Design Next Generation of Airborne Platform

Ludovic Grivault, Amal El Fallah-Seghrouchni, Raphaël Girard-Claudon

Laboratoire d'Informatique de Paris 6 - LIP6 & Thales Airborne Systems - TSA

> IDC 2017 12th October 2017



<ロ> < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

The Studied Airborne Platform

- Remotely Piloted Aircraft System (RPAS)
- Employed during critical military operations
 - > Convoy protection, surveillance, rescue
- Used in complex environments
 - > Highly dynamic
 - > Up to 500 field objects¹
 - > Pop-up & high-velocity objects on the field
- Complex to be controlled and managed through the Mission Manager (MM)
- A large set of instruments (including sensors) is required to achieve missions in real-time



The Dassault "nEUROn"



 $\mathbf{1}_{Constituting the tactical situation or the "TacSit"}$

Sensors

Sensors...

- Are complex instruments
- Perceive the platform's environment
- Can be in conflict (*e.g.* 2 antennas for 1 frequency)
- Have limited processing capacity
- Provides multiple functions
- Work in different physical domains
 - > RF, Optics, IR, Laser, etc.



The embedded sensors



Abstract View of our Framework

The Operator The Mission Manager (MM) The Multi-Sensors System (MSS)





Abstract View of our Framework

The Operator The Mission Manager (MM) The Multi-Sensors System (MSS)

- Propose essential functions for operations to the operator through the mission manager
 - > Collect field data
 - > Communicate
 - > Navigate
 - > Ensure the platform safety
- Enhance the sensors management
 - > Improve the sensors' products
 - > Coordinate the mission tasks
 - > Balance the loads





MMS in the Next Generation Airborne Platform

Short term changes

- Increasing complexity
- Growth of functions number
- Constraints reinforcement
 - > Hardware, threats, prices, size, etc.
- Expectations changes
 - > Modularity, autonomy

Today's MSS limits

- Dedicated communications links
- Lack of sensors' cooperation and coordination
- Missing an unified sensors' architecture



Problem Settings

- Build efficient framework for the NGAP
- Overcome MSS limitations & short term evolutions
- Define new architecture with autonomy and modularity
- Enhance cooperation and coordination between sensors



Proposition

Define an agent-based architecture for Multi-Sensors Systems endowed with efficient sensors coordination



Proposition

Agent-Based Architecture for MSS

In the proposed architecture:

 $\textbf{Agent} \rightarrow \textbf{Field Object's Digital Twin}$

Each agent symbolizes one field object: "Tactical Agent".

In the proposed architecture

Agents: low-level & high-level roles

(Mission Manager \leftrightarrow Hardware Sensors)

Sensors Coordination

Fast & efficient scheduling algorithm

Working under high constraints & complex precedence scheme



Tactical Agent

Main Goal

Collect data of the embodied field object it represents

Three Roles

- Provide data to the MM
- Comply to the MM's orders
- Generate sensors plans

Required data by agents

- Platform's data
- Orders & Policies (from MM)
- Sensors and Field Objects Data
- Operational and Sensors knowledge





THALES

Tactical Agents are generic when created They are instantiated according to new sensors data

From Agents to Sensors

9/19



Agent-Based Architecture For MSS



Agent-Based Architecture For MSS

MAS bootstrap Creation of the RPAS Agent

Tactical Agents (TA) Interface *Mission Manager* ↔ *Sensors*

Track Merger Artifact

- Merges the sensors data
- Creates TA w.r.t. the observed objects in the theater
- Forward new data to the TA

Agents-Scheduler-Sensors-Merger

♂ 4-Step sensors' control loop



A simpler view of the architecture



Coordination Based on Scheduling

K Plans of N tasks on R indivisible resources

- Complex General Precedences Relations
 - > Start-Start, End-Start, Min/Max Start-Start Delay & Start-Start + exact Δ
- Plans precedences (e.g. P_i before P_j)
- Priority: $\Pi(Agent) = \Pi(Plans) = \Pi(Tasks)$
- Strict plans release & due dates, no delay allowed

Scheduling Criteria

- Minimize resources' free time
- Maximize scheduled plans priority



Scheduling Algorithm

Determines for each task its starting time (t_s) Greedy fast algorithm, sweeps tasks and plans to find matching t_s

Sort the K plans by priority For each plan *i* For each task t Search compatible task's t_s If found, go to next task Else. shift t_{s} Else, shift the plan t_r If one task not scheduled Abandon *i*

Input: \mathcal{P} the ordered set of the whole plans of tasks Output: ts: the start time of each task Tn for each plan Pk Data: T_H: Temporal horizon $\mathcal{P} \leftarrow -\operatorname{sortPlansByPriority}(\mathcal{P});$ foreach Plan Pk of P do while $\exists T_n unscheduled \in \mathcal{T}_k \land Pk.t_r < Pk.t_d$ do foreach Task Tn of \mathcal{T}_{l} do $T_n t_s \leftarrow precedence(C_n);$ while $\neg isCompatible(T_n) \land T_n t_s < T_H$ do if matchingConstraints (T_n) then nextTaskOfThePlan(Pk); else shift(T_n.t_s); /* increment t_s */ if $\neg isCompatible(T_n)$ then $shift(Pk,t_r)$: /* increment tr */ if $\exists T_n unscheduled \in T_k$ then $abandon(P_k);$ adviseAgent("unplanned".ownersOf(PL)); else addToGlobalSchedule($P_{l_{\ell}}$);

THALES

Simulation

- Special Test Scenario
 - > Operational Scenario
 - > 10 usual tasks (e.g. localization, image capture by camera or radar, etc.)
- Developed in Java 1.7
- Simulation revealed
 - Improved MSS autonomy (orders are launched autonomously by agents)
 - > Strong Modularity
 - > Efficient Resources sharing
 - > High field data granularity



Simulation's Main Frame \rightarrow Tactical situation, agents, knowledge base



Scheduling Simulation

- Fast scheduling: 88ms for 100 plans on a i7 processor
- \blacksquare Highest priority \rightarrow first scheduled
- Satisfying integration in the MSS architecture

Resources		
DECOY	######################################	
LF	8P77-1116 8P76-1118 8P77-1120 5P35-147 5P36-149 9P117-1164	+
JAM	8P75-1115 8P76-1117 9P116-1161 9P16-1161	
OPT_IMG	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A63 - T90
OPTRO	0F 3P11-T11 3P12-T13	P64 - T91
IR_D	3P6-16 3P7-17 3P6-18 3P9-19 3P10-110 3P50-171 3P51-172 3P52-173 3P53-174 3P51-175 3P55-176 3P55-177 3P57-178 3P111-1155 3P112-1156	3P113-1
LASER_D	3P1-11 3P2-12 3P3-T3 3P4-14 3P5-15 3P43-164 3P44-165 3P45-166 3P46-167 3P47-168 3P46-169 3P49-170 3P105-1149 3P106-1150 3P107-1151	3(P108 - 1
GLB_PROC	4P65-194 4P66-196 4P67-198 4P67-198	+
ANT_OMN	12/01/24/84/81/01/22/14/14/14/14/14/14/14/14/14/14/14/14/14/	+
SAR_IMG		+
POW	7974-1112 6942-161	+
HF	7974-1113 9976-1 99776-1 99776-1 99776-1 99778-1 9978-1 9978-1 9978-1 9978-1 9778-	
ANT_R	גיינים גיים גיים גיים גיים גיים גיים גיי	
ANT_L	7974-1111 3P21 3P22 3P23 3P24-129 270-1 9971-11 3P25 3P80 3P80-1133 1-11 3P90 3P91 3P95 3P95 1137 - 160 3P91 3P95 3P96-1140	+
	00s	79,100s

Example of output schedule

Conclusion



MAS for MSS First breakthrough

First simulations Requirements satisfaction



Piloted Platform

Upcoming researches Multi-platform applications Track merging solutions

Frigate



Thank you for your attention

